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1. INTRODUCTION

Insight seeks to actively exercise its rights and responsibilities in regard to proxy voting on behalf of Clients and is an essential part of maximising shareholder value, ensuring good governance and delivering investment performance aligned with our Clients’ long-term economic interests.

The Insight Proxy Voting Policy (“Policy”) sets out the arrangements employed by Insight Investment Management (Global) Limited, Insight Investment Management (Europe) Limited, Insight North America LLC and Insight Investment International Limited (collectively “Insight”), where Insight has been granted by its Clients the authority to vote the proxies of the securities held in Client portfolios.

2. POLICY STATEMENT

Insight is committed to integrating governance and voting all our proxies where it is deemed appropriate and responsible to do so for the relevant asset class. In such cases, Insight’s objective is to vote proxies in the best interests of its Clients.

3. SCOPE

This Policy applies to all financial instruments with voting rights where Insight has discretionary voting authority.

4. PROXY VOTING PROCESS

Insight’s proxy voting activity adheres to best-practice standards and is a component of Insight’s Stewardship and Engagement Policy. In implementing its Voting Policy, Insight will take into account a number of factors used to provide a framework for voting each proxy. These include:

Leadership: Every company should be led by an effective board whose approach is consistent with creating sustainable long-term growth.

- **Strategy:** Company leadership should define a clear purpose and set long term objectives for delivering value to shareholders.
- **Culture:** The board should promote a diverse and inclusive culture which strongly aligns to the values of the company. It should seek to monitor culture and ensure that it is regularly engaging with its workforce.
- **Engagement with Shareholders:** The board and senior management should be transparent and engaged with existing shareholders. The board should have a clear understanding of the views of shareholders. The board should seek to minimize unnecessary dilution of equity and preserve the rights of existing shareholders.
- **Sustainability:** The board should take account of environmental, social and governance risks and opportunities when setting strategy and in their company monitoring role.

Structure: The board should have clear division of responsibilities.

- **The Chair:** The chair of the board should demonstrate objective judgment and promote transparency and facilitate constructive debate to promote overall effectiveness.
- **The Board:** There should be an appropriate balance of executive and non-executive directors. Non-executive directors should be evaluated for independence. No one individual should have unfettered decision-making. There should be a clear division, between the board and the executive leadership of the company.
- **Resources:** The board should ensure it has sufficient governance policies, influence and resources to function effectively. Non-executive directors should have sufficient time to fulﬁl their obligations to the company as directors.

Effectiveness: The board should seek to build strong institutional knowledge to ensure long term efficient and sustainable operations.

- **Appointment:** There should be a formal appointment process, which ensures that the most qualified individuals are selected for the board. This process should be irrespective of bias to ensure appropriate diversity of the board.
- **Knowledge:** The board should be comprised of those with the knowledge, skills and experience to effectively discharge their duties. The board should have sufficient independence to serve as an effective check on company management and ensure the best outcomes for shareholders.
- **Evaluation:** The board should be evaluated for effectiveness on a regular basis. Board member’s contributions should be considered individually.

Independence: The board should present a fair and balanced view of the company’s position and prospects.

- **Integrity:** The board should ensure that all reports produced accurately reflect the financial position, prospects and risks relevant to the company. The board should ensure the independence and effectiveness of internal and external audit functions.
- **Audit:** The board should ensure that clear, uncontentious accounts are produced. These should conform to the relevant best accountancy practices and accurately represent the financial position of the company. Deviations from standard accounting practices should be clearly documented with a corresponding rationale.
- **Risk:** The board should ensure the company has sound risk management and internal control systems. There should be a regular assessment and communication of the company’s emerging and principal risks.
Remuneration: Levels of remuneration should be sufficient to attract, retain and motivate talent of the quality required to run the company successfully.

- **Goal Based**: The board should base remuneration on goal-based, qualitative, discretionary cash incentives. Remuneration should consider underlying industry and macroeconomic conditions and not be structured in a tax oriented manner.
- **Transparent**: Remuneration arrangements should be transparent and should avoid complexity.
- **Sustainable**: Remuneration should not be excessively share based and should be accurately represented and controlled as an operational cost. The remuneration of executives should promote long term focus and respect the interests of existing shareholders.

The relevant factors are used by Insight to develop Voting Guidelines enabling a consistent approach to proxy voting, which are reviewed annually by the Proxy Voting Group ("PVG") – (see section 6). Voting Guidelines are available at the following link: www.insightinvestment.com/ri.

Day to day voting activity is performed by the Chair of the PVG, a senior portfolio manager with no investment discretion. This creates an independent governance structure for voting, helping to mitigate actual and potential conflicts of interest (see section 5).

The Chair of the PVG can seek support from portfolio managers, who have active discretion over the securities, to provide additional input into the voting decision such as company background, however the vote will be cast by the Chair of the PVG. Insight seeks to vote on all holdings with associated voting rights in one of three ways: in support of, against, or in abstention. If the chair is unable to cast a vote, the decision will be cast by the deputy chair. Insight uses a Voting Agent to assist in the analysis and administration of the vote (see section 4.1). For contentious issues the rationale for voting for, against, or abstaining is retained on a case-by-case basis as appropriate and reviewed by the PVG on a regular basis.

### 4.1 VOTING AGENT

To assist Insight professionals with implementing its proxy voting strategy, Insight retains the services of an independent proxy voting service, namely Minerva ("Voting Agent"). Insight provides detailed Voting Guidelines to the Voting Agent on the operational and reporting capacity of the service. The Voting Agent's responsibilities include, but are not limited to, monitoring company meeting agendas and items to be voted on, reviewing each vote against Insight’s specific Voting Guidelines and providing a voting analysis based upon the Voting Guidelines. The Voting Agent also identifies contentious issues that represent a significant monetary or strategic decision. This enables Insight to review situations where the Voting Guidelines require additional consideration or assist in the identification of potential conflicts of interest impacting the proxy vote decision. The Chair of the PVG will decide if the issue is contentious or not, and if conflicts are deemed to exist, these will be escalated to the PVG (see section 5.2).

Voting decisions are communicated by Insight to the Voting Agent and submitted to shareholder meetings through a specific proxy.

On a monthly basis the Voting Agent provides reports on voting activity to Insight. Voting data is available to Clients upon request and is posted annually on Insights website (see section 7). Insight conducts an annual due diligence with the Voting Agent to review the Voting Guidelines and related services.

### 5. CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

Effective stewardship requires protecting our Clients against any potential conflicts of interest and managing them with appropriate governance. To comply with applicable legal and regulatory requirements, Insight believes managing perceived conflicts is as important as managing actual conflicts.

In the course of normal business, Insight and its personnel may encounter situations where it faces a conflict of interest or a conflict of interest could be perceived. A conflict of interest occurs whenever the interests of Insight or its personnel could diverge from those of a Client or when Insight or its personnel could have obligations to more than one party whose interests are different to each other or those of Insight’s Clients.

In identifying a potential conflict situation, as a minimum, consideration will be made as to whether Insight, or a member of staff, is likely to:

- make a financial gain or avoid a financial loss at the expense of the Client
- material differences in the thoughts of two PM’s who own the same security
- benefit if it puts the interest of one Client over the interests of another Client
- gain an interest from a service provided to, or transaction carried out on behalf of a Client which may not be in, or which may be different from, the Client’s interest
- obtain a higher than usual benefit from a third party in relation to a service provided to the Client
- receive an inducement in relation to a service provided to the Client, in the form of monies, goods or services other than standard commission or fee for that service or
- have a personal interest that could be seen to conflict with their duties at Insight
- creates a conflict where Insight invests in firms which are Clients or potential Clients of Insight. Insight might give preferential treatment in its research (including external communication of the same) and/or investment management to issuers of publicly traded debt or equities which are also clients or closely related to clients (e.g. sponsors of pension schemes). This includes financial and ESG considerations.
- creates a conflict between investment teams with fixed income holdings in publicly listed firms or material differences in the thoughts of two PM’s who own the same security.
In situations where there is a conflict of interest or perceived conflict of interest that creates a contentious voting issue, as determined by the chair of the PVG, the issue will be escalated to the PVG. A contentious voting issue is a voting decision which would have a detrimental impact to Clients or Insight’s reputation. All conflicts are handled in line with the Insight Conflicts of Interest Policy.

5.2 ESCALATION OF CONTENTIOUS VOTING ISSUE

When a contentious voting issue has been identified, the PVG will review, evaluate and determine whether an actual material conflict of interest exist, and if so, will recommend how to vote the proxy. Depending upon the nature of the material conflict of interest, Insight may elect to take one or more of the following measures:

- removing certain Insight personnel from the proxy voting process
- walling off personnel with knowledge of the material conflict to ensure that such personnel do not influence the relevant proxy vote
- voting in accordance with the applicable Voting Guidelines, if any, if the application of the Voting Guidelines would objectively result in the casting of a proxy vote in a predetermined manner and
- deferring the vote to the Independent Voting Service, if any, which will vote in accordance with its own recommendation, this may include an affiliated entity

The resolution of all contentious voting issues, will be documented in order to demonstrate that Insight acted in the best interests of its Clients. Any voting decision not resolved by the PVG will be escalated to the Insight Chief Investment Officer (“CIO”) or delegate.

6. PROXY VOTING GROUP

The PVG is responsible for overseeing the implementation of voting decisions where Insight has voting authority on behalf of Clients. The PVG meets at least quarterly, or more frequently as required. In ensuring that votes casted are in the best interest of Clients, the PVG will oversee the following proxy voting activities:

- Casting votes on behalf of Client
  - Voting Policy: Oversee and set the Proxy Voting Policy
  - Voting Guidelines: Oversee and set the Voting Guidelines which are reviewed and approved on an annual basis
  - Conflicts of Interest: Manage conflicts when making voting instructions in line with Insight’s Conflict of Interest Policy
  - Monitoring: Review upcoming votes that cannot be made using Voting Guidelines and make voting decisions
  - Voting Agent: Appoint and monitor third-party proxy agencies, including the services they perform for Insight in implementing its voting strategy and
  - Reporting: Ensure voting activity aligns with local regulations and standards

The PVG is chaired by a Senior Portfolio Manager (who has no direct investment discretion) and attended by portfolio management personnel, the Head of Responsible Investment Research & Stewardship, Corporate Risk, Compliance, Client Services and Operations personnel. The PVG is accountable to and provides biannual updates to the Investment Management Group (“IMG”) and Insight Risk Committee (“IROC”).

7. DISCLOSURE AND RECORDING KEEPING

In certain foreign jurisdictions, the voting of proxies can result in additional restrictions that have an economic impact to the security, such as “share-blocking.” If Insight votes on the proxy share-blocking may prevent Insight from selling the shares of the security for a period of time. In determining whether to vote proxies subject to such restrictions, in consultation with the PVG, considers whether the vote, either in itself or together with the votes of other shareholders, is expected to affect the value of the security that outweighs the cost of voting. If Insight votes on a proxy and during the “share-blocking period” Insight would like to sell the affected security, in consultation with the PVG, will attempt to recall the shares (as allowable within the market time-frame and practices).

Insight publishes its voting activity in full on its website and annual report. This can be found at www.insightinvestment.com/ri.

8. PROXY VOTING POLICY REVIEW

Insight will review its Proxy Voting arrangements regularly through the PVG. Insight reviews this Policy at least annually or whenever a material change occurs and will notify Clients of any material change that affects our ability to vote in line with the best interests of its Clients.

A material change shall be a significant event that could impact Insight’s ability to vote proxies such as a change in voting agent. Notification of changes to the policy will be published at the following link: www.insightinvestment.com/ri.